

REMARKS

This amendment is filed in response to the final Office Action dated March 16, 2010, and is filed solely to put the application in condition for allowance. Entry of this Amendment and reconsideration of this application are respectfully requested.

Interview Summary

The attorney for applicants and Examiner had a telephonic interview concerning the application identified above on April 14, 2010, to discuss the rejection of claims 5-7 under 35 USC 101 made in the present Office Action. Prior to the interview, the undersigned attorney had prepared and faxed a proposed amendment to the Examiner which addressed the rejection. The content of the proposed amendment was discussed during the interview, and it was agreed that an amendment along the lines of that proposed would overcome the rejection of claims 5-7 under 35 USC 101.

In accordance with the agreement reached during the interview, claim 5 has been amended as shown above, and should therefore be considered to be directed to statutory subject matter. Claim 5 is otherwise allowable. As such, claims 5-7 should now be allowable.

All of the claims presently in the application are believed to be patentably distinct with respect to the cited art and to otherwise be in proper form for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

April 16, 2010

/Steven C. Patrick #40,341/
Steven C. Patrick
Attorney for Applicant

KOPPEL, PATRICK, HEYBL & DAWSON
2815 Townsgate Road, Suite 215
Westlake Village, California 91361
(805)373-0060